CF0000111960





FILED

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH AT JABALPUR.

M.P. No. 4464 of 1991.

PETITIONER : Santosh Prasad Mishra, aged about 29 years son of Shri Indrajit Mishra, U.D.T., / Incharge-Director, Dhakkar Bapa, Aadivasi Kanya Ashram, Orchha(Abhujmad), District Bastar, (M.P.).

Versus

RESPONDENTS :

1. Mata Rukmani Sewa Sansthan, Dimrapal, District Bastar through its Secratary Shri Dharampal Saini,

2. The Regional Commissioner, Bastar Tribal and Sheduled Caste, Development authority Jagdalpur, District Bastar (M.P.).

3. The State of Madhya Pradesh through its Secratary, Tribal Welfare Department, Bhopal,(M.P.).

4. The Collector Bastar at Jagdalpur.

OFFICE OF THE ADVOCATE GENERAL
Regn No
Date 23/12/91
MADHYA PRADESH, JABALPUR.

PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF AN APPROPRIATE WRIT, ORDER, DIRECTIONS ETC.

165

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 4464 of 1991

Petitioner — Santosh Prasad Mishra

Versus

Respondents — Mata Rukmani Sewa Sansthan, Dimrapal and others

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri.**

Shri Vishnu Koshta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Yashwant Tiwari, Advocate for the respondents No. 1 and 2.

None appears for the respondent No. 3.

Shri A.S. Kachhawaha, Government Advocate for the respondent No. 4.

ORDER

(Passed on 7th day of December, 2006)

The following order of the Court was passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. The petitioner has filed this petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India challenging the validity of the impugned order dated 26.11.1991 (Annexure/B), whereby the petitioner was served a notice of the termination of the service w.e.f. 31st December, 1991.

2. During pendency of the w petition, the respondents had passed an order of withdrawing the order dated 26.11.1991, notice for termination of service, by order dated 24.3.2006. A copy of the

166

order dated 24.3.2006 has been given to the petitioner before filing the same in this Court.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner seeks for other reliefs in regard to the release of full salary, arrears of pay, increments and bonus by re-fixing the pay in the revised scale, in this petition.

4. Since the order of termination has been quashed by way of withdrawal, the petitioner is at liberty to make proper representation before the respondents/authorities for other grievances, if the same still survive. On such representation being filed by the petitioner, the respondent No. 1 is directed to consider and pass an appropriate order, in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of the representation.

5. In view of the aforementioned facts, this petition has become infructuous and as such it is dismissed as having become infructuous. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Bablu